FINANCE COMMITTEE

MEETING AGENDA

Wednesday, April 22, 2020 2:00 p.m. – 3:30 p.m.

Call to Order

Welcome/Introductions

Approval of Agenda

Approval of Minutes (February 6, 2020)

Report of Co-Chairs

Report of Staff

Action Items:

• FY2020 Allocations

Old Business

New Business

Announcements

Adjournment

Please contact the office at least 5 days in advance if you require special assistance.

The next Finance Committee meeting is

Philadelphia EMA HIV Integrated Planning Council

Finance Committee Meeting Minutes of Thursday, February 6, 2020 2:00 p.m. – 4:00 p.m.

Office of HIV Planning, 340 N. 12th St., Suite 320, Philadelphia PA 19107

Present: Michael Cappuccilli, Keith Carter, Mark Coleman, Alan Edelstein (Co-Chair), Dave Gana (Co-Chair)

Absent: Sade Benton, Marilyn Martinez, Jeanette Murdock, Joseph Roderick, Gail Thomas

Excused: Sharona Clarke

Guests: Chris Chu (AACO), Ameenah McCann-Woods (AACO), Desiree Surplus

Staff: Mari Ross-Russell, Briana Morgan, Sofia Moletteri

Call to Order and Introductions:

A. Edelstein called the meeting to order at 2:08 PM.

Approval of Agenda:

A. Edelstein called for an approval of the February 6, 2019 Finance Committee Agenda. <u>Motion: M. Cappuccilli moved, D. Gana seconded to approve the agenda as presented. Motion passed: general consensus.</u>

Approval of Minutes (November 7, 2019):

A. Edelstein called for an approval of the November 7, 2019 Finance Committee meeting minutes. **Motion:** K. Carter moved, M. Cappuccilli seconded to approve November 7, 2019 minutes. **Motion passed:** general consensus.

Report of Co-Chairs:

No report.

Report of Staff:

No report.

Discussion Items:

—3rd Quarter Underspending Report—

A. McCann-Woods addressed the 3rd Quarter Spending report. She read the first slide in whole. Please refer to Recipient FY2019 Underspending Report, slide titled 3Q Underspending Summary" for more information. She explained that late invoices were typical in every quarter's underspending report and would be a continuing theme. A. McCann-Woods noted that there was also a packet of spreadsheets with details on total allocation, 3rd quarter allocation, spending, balance, and under/overspending percentage. The packet was broken down by county and service category.

A. McCann Woods asked everyone to refer to the underspending slide for Philadelphia. She noted that Substance Abuse Treatment – Outpatient was underspent at \$171,588 (due to vacancies and leveraging other funding sources). Regarding the vacancies, credentialing for therapists and counselors was acting as a barrier to the hiring process. EFA-Pharma was underspent by \$90,213 based on demand, Food Bank was underspent by \$54,150 from leveraging other funding sources, and Referrals to Healthcare was underspent by \$24,638 because of delayed spending. She reminded the committee that the chart was only representative of spending through November 2019—since February 2020, the numbers had changed a bit. A. Edelstein asked if the Referrals to Healthcare underspending was for vacancies in staff. A. Edelstein asked if negative numbers within the spreadsheet refer to underspending, and A. McCann-Woods said yes.

A. McCann-Woods then read the overspending for Philadelphia. The following services were all overspent due to high utilization: EFA (by \$34,591), Housing Assistance (by \$66,935), and Transportation (by \$1,406). However, it was important to note that EFA and Housing Assistance were overspent at that point in time, but it had now leveled out due to proactively approved reallocations.

A. McCann-Woods continued to the PA counties underspending. Outpatient/Ambulatory Health Services was underspent by \$52,229 (due to late invoicing, delayed spending, and leveraging other funding sources), Substance Abuse Treatment – Outpatient was underspent by \$70,742 (due to vacancies and leveraging other funding sources), EFA-Pharma was underspent by \$27,536 (based on demand), and Transportation was underspent by \$44,076 (due to delayed spending and leveraging other funding sources). She noted that the underspending at that point in time had likely leveled out of been recaptured and reallocated.

A. Edelstein asked if this underspending for EFA-Pharma was due to less utilization of the service or because of the change in prescription fills from 30 days to 15 days. A. McCann-Woods responded that SBPB applications processed faster so there was less of a need for the service via RWHAP dollars. Need was being met for prescriptions, and the process was simply more effective and less costly.

A. McCann-Woods then read the PA Counties overspending. The following services were all overspent due to high utilization: EFA (by \$5,125), Food Bank (by \$18,091), and Housing Assistance (by \$4,866). However, it was important to note that EFA and Food Bank were overspent at that point in time, but it had now leveled out due to proactively approved reallocations.

A. McCann-Woods read the underspending for New Jersey. Mental Health Therapy/Counseling was underspent by \$18,183 due to vacancies, and Food Bank was underspent by \$16,366 due to delayed spending and lower utilization. She noted that the identified underspending for Mental Health services was likely on target now or had been recaptured and reallocated.

M. Coleman asked if expansion of staff would affect ability to provide services. A. Edelstein said when you submit a budget for staff expenses, you are not able to change budget around for other services. M. Ross-Russell added that there is an administrative cap. A. Edelstein asked about prepurchased food vouchers and gift cards for Food Bank. K. Carter asked how stockpiling vouchers was possible. A. Edelstein said it was possible to stockpile food vouchers and have them rollover into the next year. A. McCann-Woods A. McCann-Woods explained that it was unlikely places were buying large amounts of food vouchers with the low utilization—there would not be underspending if money had been used to pre-purchase vouchers.

A. Edelstein asked if there are extra restrictions over Ryan White for acquiring food vouchers. A. McCann-Woods said that such a concern was brought up at a past HIPC meeting. They encouraged people to call the AACO's line (1-800-985-2437), because in order to document an issue, people needed to file official grievances.

A. Edelstein asked if the program analyst had had extensive discussion with the provider regarding underspending. A. McCann-Woods said the conversations are ongoing between the program analyst and the provider for recapturing and reallocation.

A. Edelstein asked how many agencies in NJ were delivering the food voucher service, and A. McCann-Woods responded that it was one agency. M. Coleman asked about private organizations that do donations. M. Ross-Russell said there are food banks in Southern Jersey and Camden also in operation, several being supported by big food labels. She added that part of the issue for food bank services are the requirements for obtaining food vouchers in the first place. Clients had complained that the information asked of them was disproportionate to the amount of services they were receiving.

M. Cappuccilli added that there may also be a cultural disconnect between what people can use their gift cards for—e.g. Latinx populations may not feel comfortable shopping at large supermarkets because they do not accommodate certain diets.

A. McCann-Woods read overspending for New Jersey. Transportation was overspent by \$26,023 due to higher utilization and Oral Health Care was overspent by \$20,941 due to early overspending. NJ Transportation and Oral Health were reallocated additional funds due to higher utilization. C. Chu said that that happened after November 2020, so it was not reflected but had leveled out.

A. McCann-Woods directed attention to page 5 in the RW EMA-Wide Spending packet containing spreadsheets and number data. She noted that on this page, there was regarding Systemwide Allocations. She read that there was underspending for I & R (\$405,744), QM Activities (\$130,309), Capacity Building (\$64,997), PC Support (\$49,241), Grantee Administration (\$540,876). She reported that most of the underspending was due to vacancies or late invoicing. Due to the cumbersome hiring practices, especially at the Recipient level, underspending was unresolved. However, any remaining underspending had either leveled out or was/will be redirected to direct service categories.

Action Items:

-Reallocation Request-

A. McCann-Woods explained that AACO was seeking a Reallocation Request. She read the whole first page of the Reallocation Request to the subcommittee. Please refer to Recipient Philadelphia Region Reallocation Request & Notice of Grant Award sheet packet for more information. The money reallocated to Professional Legal Services would cover money already spent on legal client work, and EFA-Pharma was currently underspent. In other words, the legal services had already taken place but were not covered by funds in EFA-Pharma. C. Chu explained that the service has unrestricted funds that they may have used in the past. During the first quarterly spending, the service had been right on track with their spending. A. Edelstein asked if the legal need had increased so much that such funding should be sustained during the next allocations. K. Carter asked if that was

because more people are using the service. A. McCann-Woods said there were a lot of legal cases as of late, many of which were tied to people's benefits. A. Edelstein suggested that funding the service category at a higher level may be important.

A. Edelstein suggested a presentation to HIPC regarding legal services. A. Edelstein asked about submission of income taxes from ACA individuals and whether it was covered by RWHAP legal services. M. Ross-Russell said those receiving income credits from ACA for insurance that had to submit income tax are able to use the RWHAP legal services. A. Edelstein suggested that such a change may have heightened the use of the legal service category.

K. Carter explained that the council needed to move more money around for the new allocation cycle and further analyze projected spending going forward. M. Cappuccilli said that they should also look into the underutilization for EFA-Pharma in the new allocation cycle. A. McCann-Woods agreed, saying the EFA-Pharma change was permanent since SPBP was processing more quickly and creating underspending.

A. Edelstein asked for a motion to present the allocation request (move \$149,328 from EFA-Pharma to Other Professional Legal Services) to the full Planning Council with a recommendation for approval. Motion: K. Carter motioned, M. Coleman seconded. Motion passed: general consensus with 1 abstention.

—Level Funding Budget Review—

A. McCann-Woods noted that there was also a Notice of Grant Award for Part A (Partial). Please refer to Recipient Philadelphia Region Reallocation Request & Notice of Grant Award sheet packet for more information. K. Carter asked the dollar amount that 25% represented. M. Ross-Russell explained that they were informed by HRSA that they were at a continuing resolution and do not have a final budget number. Having a continuing resolution meant they are giving a time period through which the government will operate to come up with a final budget for the fiscal year. In such scenarios, M. Ross-Russell said that HIPC typically approves the previously approved level funding budget which would take into consideration shifts throughout the EMA.

A. Edelstein asked if the spreadsheets for each county's level funding budget was representative of the numbers before or after reallocation request made in November 2019. M. Ross-Russell responded that the spreadsheets did not reflect the November 2019 reallocations.

M. Ross-Russell noted that Philadelphia's EFA-Pharma award had already been reduced from around \$550,000 to around \$320,000. B. Morgan said that the EFA-Pharma was distributed to three categories: Housing, EFA, and Mental Health. M. Ross-Russell said that when they receive the final award, they will make adjustments and use whichever budget is closest to the award (5% increase budget, 5% decrease budget, level funding budget). The reason for temporarily approving the level funding budget was to allow the Recipient to distribute funds to providers during the continuing resolution.

M. Ross-Russell mentioned that that the materials provided show utilization information from 2018. A. Edelstein said it may be helpful to see a breakdown of which exact legal services were most used. M. Ross-Russell said that Client Services Unit and Quality Management would be presenting on utilization during the April 2020 HIPC meeting. She asked if they could include an expansive breakdown for the services in question. A. McCann-Woods said that this was possible. K. Carter

asked if they could also provide a summary of budgets and rationale behind allocations for newer members.

A. Edelstein asked for a motion to present the level funding budget established in August 2019 to the full Planning Council with a recommendation for approval. <u>Motion: M. Coleman motioned, K. Carter seconded. Motion passed: 4 in favor, 0 opposed, 1 abstention.</u>

Old Business: None.
New Business: None.
Announcements: None.
Adjournment:
A. Edelstein asked for a motion to adjourn. <u>Motion: K. Carter motioned, M. Cappuccilli seconded</u> <u>Motion passed: general consensus.</u> Meeting adjourned at 3:02 PM.
Respectfully submitted:

Handouts distributed:

Sofia M. Moletteri, staff

- February 2020 Finance Committee Agenda
- November 2019 Finance Committee Meeting Minutes
- Recipient FY2019-2020 Third Quarter Underspending Report PowerPoint
- Recipient Philadelphia Region Reallocation Request & Notice of Grant Award (Partial)
- Ryan White EMA-Wide Spending (as of November 30, 2019)
- PA Counties FY2020-2021 Allocations Examples
- Philadelphia FY2020-2021 Allocations Examples
- NJ Counties FY2020-2021 Allocations Examples
- Philadelphia EMA FY2020-2021 Allocations Examples
- Administrative FY2020-2021 Allocations Examples
- February/March 2020 Meeting Calendar

The first step in the allocations process is determining system-wide and administrative costs. At the beginning of the allocations process for 2020/2021 it was determined that the \$81,202 in *Information and Referral* should be moved from the regional service dollars into system-wide service dollars. This caused a shift in the overall service dollars to change from \$17,634,044 to \$17,552,842. This change did not impact the other service categories.

The second process is determining the regional funding percentages based on the most current (at the time of allocations) people living with HIV percentage. This percentage shifts every year, this is to insure that the funding is following the epidemic. Each region develops a level funding, 5% increase and 5% decrease budget.

The last step occurs once the final award has been received from the Health Resources Services Administration (HRSA). Although the funding year began March 1, 2020 the recipient of the grant, AACO, just received the final award amount. This year the Philadelphia EMA received a Part A award that is a decrease of 2.145%. Based on the decrease, draft spreadsheets were created using the regional decrease decisions from July 2019. The final draft allocation for each region is shown in red.

The MAI award is an increase of 1.265 (this is voted on separately with the EMA wide award).

New Jersey 4 Allocations

—Level Funding Budget—

In the event of level funding, NJ would leave the funding consistent with its current amount and not make any changes.

—5% Increase Budget—

In the event of 5% increase, all the funding would go into transportation and all other service categories would remain at the current level.

-5% Decrease Budget-

In the event of a 5% decrease, transportation stay level and all other funding categories take proportional decreases.

New Jersey Regional Spreadsheet: Transportation was held at the 2019/2020 level and the remaining categories were proportionally decreased by \$30,369.

New Jersey Directives to the Recipient

1) Determine which services are currently provided as "other professional services" with special attention given to accessing need & availability of the full range of allowable services within this category.

Philadelphia Allocations

—Level Funding Budget—

Move \$228,500 from EFA-Pharma post reallocation budget (\$93,000 from March 2019) to Mental Health Services, Housing Assistance, and DEFA with each receiving 1/3 of the money.

—5% Increase Budget—

In the event of a 5% increase, the funding is proportionally increased across the service categories based on the new level funding budget.

—5% Decrease Budget—

In the event of a 5% decrease to take \$228,500, from EFA-Pharma, to offset the \$624,693 decrease, and then to reduce the remaining categories proportionally.

Philadelphia Regional Spreadsheet: EFA-Pharma was reduced by \$228,500 and the remaining categories were proportionally decreased by \$63,624.

Philadelphia Directives to the Recipient

- 1) The recipient as part of the CSU intake process, should inform clients of the possibility of a "wellness check" should they fall out of care. The wellness check defined here is the follow-up that the health department does when they are notified by a service provider that a client has fallen out of care.
- 2) Assess whether tobacco use prevents patients from receiving Ryan White services. This was expanded from the initial conversation related to whether consumers of substance use services are restricted by some providers based on their tobacco use.

Request of the HIPC to the Recipient

For the recipient to provide an update on the FY2019 Directive from the HIPC related to on demand transportation alternatives.

Pennsylvania 4 Allocations

—Level Funding Budget—

In the event of level funding, \$108,000 (half of EFA-Pharma) is taken out of EFA-Pharma and allocated as 50% for Outpatient Ambulatory Care, 25% for Mental Health Services, and 25% for Substance Abuse Services.

—5% Increase Budget—

In the event of a 5% increase, there is a proportional allocation to already funded services based on the previously adopted Level Funding Budget.

—5% Decrease Budget—

In the event of a 5% decrease in the budget, \$108,000 is taken out of EFA-Pharma, and all other services are proportionately reduced.

Pennsylvania Regional Spreadsheet: The entire reduction of \$54,560 was taken from EFA-Pharma and the remaining categories stayed at the 2019/2020 funding levels.

PA Counties FY2020-2021 Allocation Examples

Philadelphia EMA HIV Integrated Planning Council

Core Service Categories	Percentages baased on FY 2019/2020	Pa Counties Level Funding Budget 2019/2020 16.032% 2016 PLWH %	PA Counties -2.145% Funding Budget 2020/2021 16.142% 2017 PLWH %	Percentages baased on FY 2020/2021
AIDS Drug Assistance Program (ADAP)	0.00%	\$0	ŚO	0.00%
Ambulatory Care	23.33%	\$659,540	\$659,540	23.79%
Case Management	38.19%	\$1,079,732	\$1,079,732	38.94%
Drug Reimbursement Program	0.00%	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Early Intervention Services	0.00%	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Health Insurance Premium & Cost Sharing Assistance			**	
realth insurance Fremium & cost sharing Assistance	0.00%	\$0	ŚO	0.00%
Home & Community-based Health Services	0.00%	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Home Health Care	0.00%	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Hospice Services	0.00%	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Mental Health Therapy/Counseling	1.68%	\$47,607	\$47.607	1.72%
Mental Health Therapy/Counseling	1.08%	347,007	347,007	1.72/0
Nutritional Services	2.11%	\$59,612	\$59,612	2.15%
Oral Health Care	5.31%	\$150,162	\$150,162	5.42%
Substance Abuse Treatment-Outpatient	5.89%	\$166,536	\$166,536	6.01%
	76.51%	¥-00,000	,,	78.02%
Support Service Categories	70.02/			70.0270
Care Outreach	0.00%	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Case Management (non-medical)	0.00%	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Child Care Services	0.00%	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Day or Respite Care	0.00%	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Emergency Financial Assistance	0.76%	\$21,465	\$21,465	0.77%
Emergency Financial Assistance/AIDS Pharma. Assist.	7.64%	\$215,909	\$161,349	5.82%
Emergency Financial Assistance/Housing	0.00%	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Food Bank/Home-Delivered Meals	2.31%	\$65,238	\$65,238	2.35%
Health Education Risk Reduction	0.00%	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Housing Assistance	0.95%	\$26,904	\$26,904	0.97%
Referral for Health Care & support Services(Systemwide)	0.00%	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Other Professional Services/Legal Services	0.60%	\$17,065	\$17,065	0.62%
Psychosocial Support Services	0.00%	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Rehabilitation Care	0.00%	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Substance Abuse (Residential)	0.00%	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Translation & Interpretation	0.00%	\$0	\$0	0.00%
Transportation	11.23%	\$317,398	\$317,398	11.45%
	23.49%	\$2,827,168	\$2,772,608	21.98%
24.28%	100.00%	\$2,827,168	\$2,772,608	
Difference from 2019/2020 level funding			-\$54,560	
Dijjerence jrom 2013/2020 lever junumy				

Difference from revised level funding -\$2,833,392

-\$60,784

							Difference from
							revised level
							funding service
	2016 PLWH	PLWH %	2020 Level*	2017 PLWH	PLWH %	-2.145%	dollars
Philadelphia	19113	71.445%	\$12,493,865	19199	71.179%	\$12,225,838	-\$268,027
PA	4289	16.032%	\$2,833,392	4354	16.142%	\$2,772,608	-\$60,784
NJ	3350	12.522%	\$2,225,586	3420	12.679%	\$2,177,841	-\$47,745
EMA	26752	100.000%	\$17,552,842	26973	100.000%	\$17,176,286	-\$376,556

^{*}The overall service level funding amount of \$17,634,043 was changed to reflect moving systemwide service costs for I&R/Client services of \$81,202. Bringing the service dollars to \$17,552,842

Philadelphia

Philadelphia

Philadelphia FY2020-2021 Allocation Examples

Philadelphia	ΕМΔ	HIV Integrated	Planning Counc	il
Philadelphia	CIVIA	niv integrated	Planning Counc	ш

NJ

EMA

	Percentages baased on	2016 PLWH % 71.445% Philadelphia Level Including March 2019	-2.145% Funding Budget 2020/2021	Percentages baased on		
Core Service Categories	FY 2019/2020	2019 Rellocations	71.179% 2017 PLWH %	FY 2020/2021		
AIDS Drug Assistance Program (ADAP)	0.00%	\$0	\$0	0.00%		
Ambulatory Care	38.57%	\$4,827,895	\$4,802,429	39.28%		
Case Management	32.31%	\$4,044,422	\$4,023,089	32.91%		
Drug Reimbursement Program	3.89%	\$486,328	\$483,762	3.96%		
Early Intervention Services	0.00%	\$0	\$0	0.00%		
ealth Insurance Premium & Cost Sharing Assistance		, -				
	0.00%	\$0	\$0	0.00%		
Iome & Community-based Health Services	0.00%	\$0	\$0	0.00%		
Iome Health Care	0.00%	\$0	\$0	0.00%		
ospice Services	0.00%	\$0	\$0	0.00%		
Nental Health Therapy/Counseling	2.60%	\$324,920	\$323,206	2.64%		
lutritional Services	0.00%	\$324,920 \$0	\$323,200 \$0	0.00%		
ral Health Care	3.31%	\$414,806	\$412,618	3.37%		
ubstance Abuse Treatment-Outpatient	4.24%	\$530,928	\$528,128	4.32%		
abstance Abase Treatment Outputient		4330,32 6	7520,120			
	84.92%			86.48%		
re Outreach	0.00%	\$0	\$0	0.00%		
ase Management (non-medical)	0.00%	\$0	\$0	0.00%		
ild Care Services	0.00%	\$0	\$0	0.00%		
y or Respite Care	0.00%	\$0	\$0	0.00%		
nergency Financial Assistance	0.38%	\$48,085	\$47,831	0.39%		
mergency Financial Assistance/AIDS Pharma. Assist.	4.39%	\$550,070	\$321,570	2.63%		
mergency Financial Assistance/Housing	1.83%	\$229,113	\$227,905	1.86%		
ood Bank/Home-Delivered Meals	1.66%	\$207,658	\$206,563	1.69%		
ealth Education Risk Reduction	0.00%	\$0	\$0	0.00%		
ousing Assistance	4.31%	\$539,418	\$536,573	4.39%		
Referral for Health Care & support Services (systemwide	0.00%	\$0	\$0	0.00%		
Other Professional Services/Legal Services	2.41%	\$301,715	\$300,124	2.45%		
Psychosocial Support Services	0.00%	\$0	\$0	0.00%		
Rehabilitation Care	0.00%	\$0	\$0	0.00%		
ubstance Abuse (Residential)	0.00%	\$0	\$0	0.00%		
ranslation & Interpretation	0.00%	\$0	\$0	0.00%		
ransportation	0.10%	\$12,105	\$12,041	0.10%		
•	15.08%	\$12,517,462	\$12,225,838	13.52%		
	100.00%	\$12,517,462	\$12,225,838			

\$2,225,586

\$17,552,842

3420

26973

12.679%

100.000%

\$2,177,841

\$17,176,286

-\$47,745

-\$376,556

3350

26752

12.522%

100.000%

NJ Counties FY2020-2021 Allocation Examples

Philadelphia EMA HIV Integrated Planning Council

	Percentages baased on FY 2019/2020	2016 PLWH % 12.522% NJ Counties FY 2019/2020 Level	2017 PLWH % 12.679% NJ Counties FY 2020/2021 -5%	Percentages baased on FY 2020/2021			
Core Service Categories		Allocations	Allocations				
AIDS Drug Assistance Program (ADAP)	0.00%	\$0	\$0	0.00%			
Ambulatory Care	49.83%	\$1,100,351	\$1,084,005	49.77%			
Case Management	19.59%	\$432,550	\$426,124	19.57%			
Drug Reimbursement Program	0.00%	\$0	\$0	0.00%			
Early Intervention Services	0.00%	\$0	\$0	0.00%			
Health Insurance Premium & Cost Sharing Assistance							
· ·	0.00%	\$0	\$0	0.00%			
Home & Community-based Health Services	0.00%	\$0	\$0	0.00%			
Home Health Care	0.00%	\$0	\$0	0.00%			
Hospice Services	0.00%	\$0	\$0	0.00%			
Mental Health Therapy/Counseling	7.80%	\$172,158	\$169,601	7.79%			
Nutritional Services	0.00%	\$172,130	\$105,001	0.00%			
Oral Health Care	8.99%	\$198,626	\$195,675	8.98%			
Substance Abuse Treatment-Outpatient	0.00%	\$0	\$0	0.00%			
0.00%	86.21%	Ψū	***	86.11%			
Support Service Categories							
Care Outreach	0.00%	\$0	\$0	0.00%			
Case Management (non-medical)	0.00%	\$0	\$0	0.00%			
Child Care Services	0.00%	\$0	\$0	0.00%			
Day or Respite Care	0.00%	\$0	ŚO	0.00%			
Emergency Financial Assistance	0.00%	\$0	\$0	0.00%			
Emergency Financial Assistance/AIDS Pharma. Assist.	0.00%	\$0	\$0	0.00%			
Emergency Financial Assistance/Housing	0.00%	\$0	\$0	0.00%			
Food Bank/Home-Delivered Meals	2.50%	\$55,155	\$54,336	2.49%			
Health Education Risk Reduction	0.00%	\$0	\$0	0.00%			
Housing Assistance	0.00%	\$0	\$0	0.00%			
Referral for Health Care & support Services(Systemwic	0.00%	\$0	\$0	0.00%			
Other Professional Services/Legal Services	3.87%	\$85,562	\$84,291	3.87%			
Psychosocial Support Services	0.00%	\$0	\$0	0.00%			
Rehabilitation Care	0.00%	\$0	\$0	0.00%			
Substance Abuse (Residential)	0.00%	\$0	\$0	0.00%			
Translation & Interpretation	0.00%	\$0	\$0	0.00%			
Transportation	7.42%	\$163,809	\$163,809	7.52%			
Subtotal	13.79%	\$2,208,210	\$2,177,841	13.89%			
0.00%	100.00%	\$2,208,210	\$2,177,841	13.03/6			
Difference from 2019/2020 level funding			-\$30,369				
Difference from revised level funding - \$2,225,586			-\$47,745				
							Difference from
							revised level funding service
	2016 PLWH	PLWH %	2020 Level	2017 PLWH	PLWH %	-2.145%	dollars
Philadelphia	19113	71.445%	\$12,493,865	19199	71.179%	\$12,225,838	-\$268,027
PA	4289	16.032%	\$2,833,392	4354	16.142%	\$2,772,608	-\$60,784
NJ	3350	12.522%	\$2,225,586	3420	12.679%	\$2,177,841	-\$47,745
I	2550	100.0000	+=,===,==	2.23		+-,,	4

EMA

26752 100.000% \$17,552,842 26973 100.000% \$17,176,286 -\$3

*The overall service level funding amount of \$17,634,043 was changed to reflect moving systemwide service costs for I&R/Client services of \$81,202. Bringing the service dollars to \$17,552,842

\$17,552,842

-\$376,556

Philadelphia EMA FY2020-2021 Allocation Examples

Philadelphia EMA HIV Integrated Planning	Before the funding	After the funding	Phila EMA				
	shift of \$81202	shift of \$81202	2.145%	MAI	MAI		
	2016 PLWH%	2017 PLWH%	Funding				
	EMA	EMA	Budget				
	FY 2019/20	FY 2019/20	2020/2021	FY 2019/20	FY 2020/21		
	Level	Level	71.179%		1.265 Increase		
Core Service Categories	Allocations	Allocations	2017 PLWH %	Allocation	Allocation		
AIDS Drug Assistance Program (ADAP)	\$0	\$0	\$0				
Ambulatory Care	\$6,587,785	\$6,587,785	\$6,545,974	\$364,861	\$369,478		
Case Management	\$5,556,704	\$5,556,704	\$5,528,945	\$1,383,611	\$1,401,117		
Drug Reimbursement Program	\$486,328	\$486,328	\$483,762				
Early Intervention Services	\$0	\$0	\$0				
Health Insurance Premium & Cost Sharing Assistance	\$0	\$0	\$0				
Home & Community-based Health Services	\$0	\$0	\$0				
Home Health Care	\$0	\$0	\$0				
Hospice Services	\$0	\$0	\$0				
Mental Health Therapy/Counseling	\$544,685	\$544,685	\$540,414				
Nutritional Services	\$59,612	\$59,612	\$59,612				
Oral Health Care	\$763,594	\$763,594	\$758,455				
Substance Abuse Treatment-Outpatient	\$697,464	\$697,464	\$694,664				
82.62%							
Support Service Categories							
Care Outreach	\$0	\$0	\$0				
Case Management (non-medical)	\$0	\$0	\$0				
Child Care Services	\$0	\$0	\$0				
Day or Respite Care	\$0	\$0	\$0				
Emergency Financial Assistance	\$69,550	\$69,550	\$69,296				
Emergency Financial Assistance/AIDS Pharma. Assist.	\$765,979	\$765,979	\$482,919				
Emergency Financial Assistance/Housing	\$229,113	\$229,113	\$227,905				
Food Bank/Home-Delivered Meals	\$328,051	\$328,051	\$326,136				
Health Education Risk Reduction	\$0	\$0	\$0				
Housing Assistance	\$566,322	\$566,322	\$563,477				
Referral for Health Care & support Services(Systemwide)	\$81,202	\$0	\$0				
Other Professional Services/Legal Services	\$404,342	\$404,342	\$401,479				
Psychosocial Support Services	\$0	\$0	\$0				
Rehabilitation Care	\$0	\$0	\$0				
Substance Abuse (Residential)	\$0	\$0	\$0				
Translation & Interpretation	\$0 \$493,312	\$0 \$493,312	\$0 \$493,248				
Transportation Subtotal	\$17,634,042	\$17,552,840		\$1,748,472	\$1,770,595		
Subtotal	\$17,634,042	\$17,552,840 \$17,552,842	\$17,176,287 \$17,176,286	\$1,748,472 \$1,748,472	\$1,770,595		
16.06%	\$17,034,042	\$17,552,842	\$17,170,280	\$1,748,472	\$1,770,595		
Difference from New level funding			-\$376,556				
Referral for Health Care & support Services 3.44%	\$544,325	\$625,527	\$612,108				
QM Activities (Not to exceed 5% of total grant award)	\$548,833	\$548,833	\$537,059	\$22,650	\$22,937		
·				ψ = 2,030	yan, oo		
Systemwide Coordination	\$192,822 \$112.684	\$192,822 \$112,684	\$188,686				
Capacity Building	\$112,684	\$112,684	\$110,267				
PC Support Grantee Administration	\$499,921 \$1,275,373	\$499,921 \$1,275,373	\$489,196 \$1,248,013	\$196,791	\$199,281		
Grantee Administration Administrative (Not to exceed 10% of grant award)	\$1,275,373	\$1,275,373 \$2,080,800	\$1,248,013 \$2,036,161	\$196,791	\$199,281		
Subtotal Systemwide, QM & Administrative	\$3,173,959	\$3,255,159	\$3,185,328	\$219,441	\$222,218		
Service Allocations	\$17,634,042	\$17,552,842	\$17,176,286 \$20,361,614	\$1,748,472	\$1,770,595 \$1,992,813		
Award amount (formula & supplemental)	\$20,808,001	\$20,808,001		\$1,967,913			
<u>Difference from New level funding</u>			20,361,614 -446,387		\$1,992,813 \$24,900		
							Difference fror revised level
							funding servic
	2016 PLWH	PLWH %	2020 Level	2017 PLWH	PLWH %	-2.145	dollars
Philadelphia	19113	71.445%	\$12,493,865	19199	71.179%	\$12,225,838	-\$268,
	13112	/ 1.773/0	Y12,433,003				
PA	4289	16.032%	\$2,833,392	4354	16.142%	\$2,772,608	-\$60,

	Current Level Funding Budget 2019/2020	Level Funding adjusted to include I&R change	Decrease FY 2020/2021 2.145%	Current allocation MAI 2019/2020	1.265% increase MAI 2020/2021
Referral for Health Care & support Services	\$544,325	\$625,527	\$612,108		
QM Activities (Not to exceed 5% of total grant					
award)	\$548,833	\$548,833	\$537,059	\$22,650	\$22,937
7	I				
Systemwide Coordination	\$192,822	\$192,822	\$188,686		
Capacity Building	\$112,684	\$112,684	\$110,267		
PC Support	\$499,921	\$499,921	\$489,196		
Grantee Administration	\$1,275,373	\$1,275,373	\$1,248,013	\$196,791	\$199,281
Administrative (Not to exceed 10% of grant award)	\$2,080,800	\$2,080,800	\$2,036,161		
Subtotal Systemwide, QM & Administrative	\$3,173,957	\$3,255,159	\$3,185,328	\$219,441	\$222,218
Service Allocations	\$17,634,044	\$17,552,842	\$17,176,286	\$1,748,472	\$1,770,595
Award amount (formula & supplemental)	\$20,808,001	\$20,808,001	\$20,361,614	\$1,967,913	\$1,992,813
			20,361,614		\$1,992,813
<u>Difference from current level funding</u>		\$81,202	-446,387		\$24,900
	2016 PLWH	PLWH %	2017 PLWH	PLWH%	
Philadelphia	19113	71.445%	19199	71.179%	\$12,225,838
rillaueipilla	19113				. , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
•	4289	16.032%	4354	16.142%	\$2,772,608
PA NJ			4354 3420	16.142% 12.679%	\$2,772,608 \$2,177,841

I & R Phila was moved back to systemwide \$81,202

<u>Difference from New level funding</u>