MEETING AGENDA

Thursday, February 14, 2019 2:00 p.m. – 4:00 p.m.

Call to Order

Welcome and Introductions

Approval of Agenda

Approval of Minutes (January 10, 2019)

Report of Co-Chairs

• AACO PrEP Campaign

Report of Staff

Action Items

- Allocations
- Executive Committee Extending Meeting Times

Public Comment

Discussion Items

• Third Quarterly Underspending Report

Old Business

New Business

Announcements

Adjournment

Please contact the office at least 5 days in advance if you require special assistance.

The next HIV Integrated Planning Council meeting is scheduled for Thursday, March 14, 2019 from 2:00 – 4:00 p.m. at the Office of HIV Planning, 340 N. 12TH Street, Suite 320, Philadelphia, PA 19107 (215) 574-6760 • FAX (215) 574-6761 • www.hivphilly.org



HIV Integrated Planning Council Thursday, January 10, 2019 2:00 p.m. – 4:00 pm.

Office of HIV Planning, 340 N. 12th Street, Suite 320, Philadelphia, PA 19107

Present: Juan Baez, Katelyn Baron, Michael Cappuccilli, Lupe Diaz, Tiffany Dominique, David Gana, Sharee Heaven, Peter Houle, Gerry Keys, Nicole Miller, Nhakia Outland, Christine Quimby, Erica Rand, Samuel Romero, Clint Steib, Gloria Taylor, Coleman Terrell (AACO), Gail Thomas, Melvin White, Jacquelyn Whitfield

Excused: Keith Carter, Maisaloon Dias, Alan Edelstein, Gus Grannan, Janice Horan, George Matthews, Lorett Matus

Absent: Henry Bennett, Johnnie Bradley, Mark Coleman, Pamela Gorman, La'Seana Jones, Dorothy McBride-Wesley, Jeanette Murdock Joseph Roderick, Eran Sargent, Jason Simmons, Terry Smith-Flores, Adam Thompson, Lorrita Wellington, Zora Wesley, Steven Zick

Guests: Chris Chu (AACO), Ameenah McCann-Woods (AACO).

Staff: Mari Ross-Russell, Nicole Johns, Briana Morgan, Dustin Fitzpatrick

Call to Order L. Diaz called the meeting to order at 2:09 p.m. Those present then introduced themselves and participated in an ice breaker activity which included their names, location, and preferred pronouns.

Approval of agenda

L. Diaz asked for an approval of the agenda.

Motion: D. Gana moved, J, Whitefield seconded to approve the agenda. Motion Passed: 14 in favor, 0 against, 3 abstentions.

Approval of minutes

L. Diaz asked for an approval of the meeting minutes from December 10, 2018. She reminded the group that the meeting was an emergency meeting, called for the approval of an end of year reallocation request.

Motion: J. Whitefield moved, P. Houle seconded to approve the minutes for December 10, 2018. Motion Passed: 14 in favor, 0 against, 3 abstentions

Report of Chair

C. Terrell stated that he would report back on the December meeting. He stated that the Council had approved the bylaws changes that removed references to the Chief Elected Official's (CEO's) designee since the Council reports directly to the mayor. He stated that they had approved a reallocation request for the end of the fiscal year underspending. He stated that they also elected representatives from the council for UCHAPS, and that they have an alternate position to be decided at a later date. He finally asked those who are on social media to "check in" at the HIPC meetings.

Report of Staff

M. Ross Russell welcomed Dustin Fitzpatrick to the Office of HIV Planning staff, and noted that Dustin would be taking meeting minutes moving forward and be the one to contact members about meeting attendance.

Public Comment. None.

Discussion Items: Small Group Discussions

N. Johns explained that the group were going to break up into groups of 3 to begin a conversation that would inform the later discussion about the HIPC's Mission, Vision, and Values. She asked the small groups to interview each other about why they value their participation on the planning council, whether as a member or someone who came regularly. She requested that they consider why they dedicate their time, energy, and resources to this work. She explained that there was a reason that people come to these meetings, and that they wanted the groups to get at what those reasons are. She encouraged those present to be in groups with people they don't know as well so they could get to know new people. She asked those present to take 10 - 15 minutes to have these conversations.

After ten minutes of small group conversation, the group reconvened. N. Johns asked those present to share some key phrases related to values they have that came up during the conversations. G. Taylor said "representation," explaining that she still comes after her first meeting because she knows there's not a lot of representation from New Jersey. L. Diaz said she's also from the PA collar counties, and a reason she comes is that there are only a handful of them. D. Gana stated that his group talked about the financial side, getting involved because they were concerned about how funding was being spent.

C. Steib stated "to network and build partnerships." J. Whitfield said that they talked about reaching out to others and bringing them into the meetings, to help those who are shy and afraid to come. P. Houle added to be a voice for others, and D. Gana said to combat stigma. M. Cappuccilli said he comes to get a sense of the big picture, since people work or live in small environments where they only see a corner of this world. C. Terrell stated that his group talked about the importance of ensuring high quality, appropriate, and competent services that meet needs of the community, as well as a need for representation from young people, and ensuring community voice.

T. Dominique stated that her group noted the bidirectional sharing of resources — they come to get education and bring it back to their agencies, but that they also bring their own knowledge and experiences to the table. C. Quimby stated that her group talked about coming together in the spirit of collaboration. C. Terrell said one group member said they kept coming because they liked it. C. Steib that his group noted they come to get a better understanding of policies, procedures, and governance. G. Thomas stated that one of their group members was at her first meeting and another had been around a while, but she loved sharing information and another group member loved the presentations. S. Romero stated the democratic process was important to him. P. Houle shared that giving back to the community came up in his group. S. Romero offered "citizenship". L. Diaz stated a sense of community with a common goal came up in her group. T. Dominque said that one person said 'because the epidemic wasn't over'. C. Steib shared that some in his group came to be part of planning and funding allocation process. N. Outland said that she came to talk about sex positively since so much was "don't do this" or "don't do that".

N. Johns read the list back to the group. She asked the group how they felt about having the conversation. P. Houle said that it was good talking to people he didn't know. He went on to say that he came from a different state but lives in Philadelphia, and that it was nice to see C. Terrell at the meetings because he could have assigned that to someone else. He stated that he appreciated C. Terrell's participation, as the Director of the AIDS Activities Coordinating Office, in community planning.

Planning Council Mission, Vision, and Values

B. Morgan explained that we are going to tie together the previous discussion with the planning council values. The last time the Council looked at the values was in 2012 when the last comprehensive care

2

plan was written. She noted that a lot has changed, especially after the integration of the planning bodies. She reviewed the current values with the HIPC. She asked them to consider how these values measure against the conversations they just had and the values they just shared (see handout for value statements).

- C. Terrell observed that the current values don't addressing ending the epidemic. P. Houle agreed. He shared that ending the epidemic was a key feature in the strategic planning of the community planning body in Delaware. He said that the current values are all really applicable, maybe there needs to be a couple words added or changed. He noted 'lost to care' is not addressed. D. Gana shared the idea of adding lost to care or unmet needs to the "perceived or actual barriers" value. He added the values should be explicit about unmet needs. B. Morgan asked if there was anything brought up in the conversations today that was not reflected in the values as written. She noted that collaboration was mentioned a few times, but it is not necessarily reflected in the values as stated. L. Diaz asked is collaboration could be added to the "provide high quality" value. B. Morgan clarified that this discussion will be brought to the HIPC committees and back to the HIPC so there doesn't need to be any concrete decisions at this meeting.
- D. Gana stated that there should be something about informational updates that can be shared with the community, to learn and disseminate knowledge/information. He clarified that sharing information should be a value. C. Steib said that the body should be described as a group that is not just folks who work in the field, but also community members. He said the common community perception is just agencies. B. Morgan explained that this addition would help to tell who we are. K. Baron added that advocates and the community voice isn't really included in the values. B. Morgan said the assumption of advocacy is underlying, but not explicitly stated. M. Ross-Russell said there needs to be a distinction between lobbying versus advocacy. Advocacy is the work of the council, it is about including community voices. J. Diaz said that it is not explicit in the values that the HIPC listens to the community so that services can be improved. The group discussed the differences between advocacy and lobbying. The group came to agreement that appropriate language was needed to capture the work of the HIPC as allowed under the current legislation and guidance.
- S. Romero shared that the bidirectional exchange of information is essential to the work and who the group is as individuals and identities. He further offered "who we are is a group that listens to each other, a group of multiple and diverse identities". B. Morgan reviewed what was captured from the small group conversations and explained that the conversation about the values will go to the committees.
- B. Morgan introduced the mission from 2012 insert mission:

The mission of the Philadelphia EMA Ryan White Part A Planning Council is to be responsive to the EMA's consumers' needs through proactive planning of an efficient, high quality continuum of care.

She noted this could be the place for "ending the epidemic". T. Dominique asked for the mission to be inclusive of prevention messages as well – to include those "at risk". B. Morgan asked the group to think about it and bring those ideas to committee. She asked if the mission feels true. L. Diaz stated that the HIPC should add "ending the epidemic" and prevention messages to the mission. C Terrell recommended that "ending the epidemic" is included in the mission. He further clarified that the current mission was about ensuring a steady state epidemic. P. Houle "Until ending the HIV" epidemic…" as the first words. D. Gana suggested adding "or at risk for contracting HIV". C Steib

3

agreed with that suggestion. B. Morgan clarified that this discussion will also be presented to the Prevention Committee. P. Houle asked if 90/90/90¹ should be included, as it is a national goal. P. Houle cautioned that simple and concise is better for these statements. S. Heaven suggested that "ending the epidemic" could go at the end as well.

P. Houle asked if there were core values included. He said that often they are words, concepts like inclusiveness, integrity, etc. B. Morgan asked if the group liked words as core values over sentences. P. Houle suggested that the current values are more statements of priorities. Core values of words/concepts could be added. He asked if these could be called strategies rather than values. He further explained that the average set of core values are 6-8 words. S. Heaven offered that there is a way to incorporate these ideas all together in the final product. K. Baron asked for the mission, values, vision to the HIPC via email.

Training Needs

B. Morgan explained each person is getting 3 sticky notes to identify training needs- what skills or information do you need to do your jobs at HIPC and make good decisions? M. Ross Russell said this will help inform staff meet the council's needs and provide them the information and skills they need to fulfill their roles and responsibilities. She clarified that we are asking for the three most important topics/skills. The suggestions were collected from the group and sorted into groups of like concepts.

B. Morgan stated that there are a lot of requests for training on the roles, process, and responsibilities of HIPC. She clarified that there were also numerous requests for presentations on high risk populations. Other requests included: medical research updates, explanation of how funding differs in the EMA's regions, regional allocations, how to read a budget spreadsheet, opioid epidemic and local supervised consumption sites, youth, PrEP, aging and HIV, implicit bias, local resources, needs assessment process, communication skills, stigma, the new case management program, cultural competency, intersectionality, trauma informed care, acronyms, trans community needs, and HCV/HIV elimination.

B. Morgan thanked everyone for participating. She noted that addressing roles and responsibilities is a priority. She noted that other topics with multiple requests were: youth, opioid epidemic, allocations/budgets, and cultural competency/diversity/intersectionality. M. Ross Russell stated that the roles and responsibilities in the HIPC will be the place to start and some of these others will flow from there, like allocations, epidemiological profile. She explained that some of these others will filter into 'Brown Bag Friday' presentations, if not at a planning council meetings. N. Johns suggested the Racial Equity workgroup could be a place to have the conversations about cultural competency and intersectionality.

Committee Reports

Positive Committee. N. Johns reported that the committee met in December and began a discussion about the Meaningful Involvement of People Living with HIV. The committee's next meeting was scheduled for January 14th where they will continue that discussion and begin planning for a newsletter.

Finance. No report.

Prevention Committee. C. Steib reported that the committee will meet on January 23rd. The PrEP work group will meet on January 16th and receive a report on the work of the last year.

4

¹ For more information: http://www.aidsmap.com/90-90-90

Nominations. M. Cappacilli shared that the committee reviewed attendance of members. Outreach will be made to specific people who have attendance issues. The committee also talked about encouraging members into putting more energy into social media to help spread the word. The committee is also thinking about a recruitment video. He stated the committee is planning a social in May, after the meeting on May 9th. Nominations Committee will take charge and ask committees to put it on their agendas to come up with activity ideas. Everyone is also welcome to offer suggestions in the suggestion box in the conference room.

Comprehensive Planning. T. Dominique reported that the committee met in December with a small but mighty group to talk about the opioid epidemic. The committee will meet on January 17th at 2pm to discuss priority setting.

Old Business. None.

New Business. J. Malloy stated Mayfair neighborhood middle school students were tested for HIV by a local agency outside of the school without any notification of the school district. He stated that this agency should not have been allowed to go against the established norms for HIV testing of young people. He stated that African American children were targeted by this testing event which offered them vouchers for pizza, and it appears that the city Department Public of Health allowed it to go on, from the reporting in the press. C. Terrell said it was inappropriate for the HIPC to talk about individual service providers. He clarified that the Philadelphia Department of Public Health released a statement. J. Malloy asked how it was allowed it to be done to have 13-year olds tested because this is not something that was previously done. C. Steib stated that it is legal to test 13-year olds in PA. J. Malloy stated that morality and legality are not the same thing. L. Diaz stated that the issues around testing of young people should be discussed in the discussions around youth. J. Malloy expressed his concern that this agency is not being challenged by the larger HIV community.

Announcements.

N. Outland announced that Mazzoni Center is offering the CLEAR program, which is one on one coaching program for MSM who are HIV. She left flyers in the conference room.

A. Ford announced that Kevin Jones is no longer the Executive Director of UCHAPS. As board treasurer, A. Ford is handing the business of UCHAPS. UCHAPS is recruiting new board members. He asked anyone with questions to contact him. He congratulated the new steering committee from Philadelphia and he looks forward to working with them.

Adjournment:

L. Diaz asked for a motion to adjourn. Motion: C. Steib moved, J, Whitfield seconded to adjourn the meeting at 3:43pm. Motion passed by general consensus.

Respectfully submitted by, Nicole Johns, staff

Handouts distributed at the meeting:

- Meeting Agenda
- Meeting Minutes from December 10, 2018
- OHP Calendar

	6/14/2018 Current allocation	2019 Level Funding Formula & Supplemental	2019 1.167% Increase Formula & Supplemental	6/14/2018 Current allocation MAI	2019 Level Funding MAI	2019 .241% Decrease MAI	
Referral for Health Care & support Services	\$512,425	\$538,046	\$544,325				
QM Activities (Not to exceed 5% of total grant av	\$516,669	\$542,502	\$548,833	\$21,624	\$22,705	\$22,650	
Systemwide Coordination	\$190,598	\$190,598	\$192,822				
Capacity Building	\$111,384	\$111,384	\$112,684				
PC Support	\$494,154	\$494,154	\$499,921				
Grantee Administration	\$1,260,660	\$1,260,660	\$1,275,373	\$174,759	\$197,266	\$196,791	
Administrative (Not to exceed 10% of grant							
award)	\$2,056,796	\$2,056,796	\$2,080,800				
Subtotal Systemwide, QM & Administrative	\$3,085,890	\$3,137,343	\$3,173,959	\$196,383	\$219,971	\$219,441	
Service Allocations	\$17,482,075	\$17,430,622	\$17,634,042	\$1,776,279	\$1,752,691	\$1,748,472	
Award amount (formula & supplemental)	\$20,567,965	\$20,567,965	\$20,808,001	\$1,972,662	\$1,972,662	\$1,967,913	
Difference from New level funding			240,036				
		240,036	1.16704%				
	2015 PLWH	PLWH %	2018 Level	2016 PLWH	PLWH %	2019 Level	2019 +1.167%
Philadelphia	19280	71.922%	\$12,573,374	19113	71.445%	\$12,453,330	\$12,598,663
PA	4193	15.641%	\$2,734,448	4289	16.032%	\$2,794,555	\$2,827,168
N	3334	12.437%	\$2,174,255	3350	12.522%	\$2,182,737	\$2,208,210
EMA	26807	100.000%	\$17,482,076	26752	100.000%	\$17,430,622	\$17,634,042
Difference from New level funding							

Racial Equity Workgroup Purpose and Scope

Comprehensive Planning Committee January 2019

Membership: Representation from each HIPC committees, AACO, and stakeholders and community leaders from under-represented communities (to be determined by workgroup). Membership of workgroup will be between 10-20 members.

Purpose: To provide key findings and themes to Comprehensive Planning concerning racial equity in the following areas:

- Planning Council Membership/Leadership (current membership, meaningful involvement of most impacted communities, recruitment/retention efforts)
- Provider/Clinic level disparities (workforce, plans for addressing gaps, and resources for supporting equity)
- Funding allocations- particularly Minority AIDS Initiative and Ryan White Part A
- Training & capacity (HIPC and local service providers)
- Racial subpopulations disparities in health outcomes and service access

The workgroup will be provided with data from OHP and AACO to make assessments on the above areas of focus. These quantitative and qualitative data sources may include RW program data, HIV surveillance data, allocations/spending data, utilization data, needs assessment data, other local or national research/data, HIPC membership information, and other relevant reports.

Workgroup will schedule their first meeting by March 1st.

Workgroup will report themes and trends to Comprehensive Planning by August 1st. After this report, the Comprehensive Planning Committee will determine how to proceed and whether the workgroup will continue or dissolve.

Comprehensive Planning will include a standing agenda item for the workgroup to provide updates.

Anyone interested in participating should contact Nicole Johns at 215-574-6760 or nicole@hivphilly.org

NJ Counties FY2019-2020 Allocation Examples

Philadelphia EMA HIV Integrated Planning Council

	2015 PLWHA %		2016 PLWHA %		2016 PLWHA %		
	12.437%		12.522%		12.522%		
	NJ Counties		NJ Counties		NJ Counties		
	FY 2018		FY 2019		FY 2019		
	Level		Level		1.167%		
Core Service Categories	Allocations		Allocations	0.000/	Allocations	0.000/	
AIDS Drug Assistance Program (ADAP)	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	
Ambulatory Care	\$1,083,107	49.82%	\$1,087,332	49.82%	\$1,100,351	49.83%	
Case Management	\$425,771	19.58%	\$427,432	19.58%	\$432,550	19.59%	
Drug Reimbursement Program	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	
Early Intervention Services	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	
Health Insurance Premium & Cost Sharing Assistance					40	0.000/	
	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	
Home & Community-based Health Services	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	
Home Health Care	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	
Hospice Services	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	
Mental Health Therapy/Counseling	\$169,460	7.79%	\$170,121	7.79%	\$172,158	7.80%	
Nutritional Services	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	
Oral Health Care	\$195,513	8.99%	\$196,276	8.99%	\$198,626	8.99%	
Substance Abuse Treatment-Outpatient	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	
86.18%		86.18%		86.18%		86.21%	
Support Service Categories			6-20				
Care Outreach	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	
Case Management (non-medical)	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	
Child Care Services	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	
Day or Respite Care	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	
Emergency Financial Assistance	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	
Emergency Financial Assistance/AIDS Pharma. Assist.	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	
Emergency Financial Assistance/Housing		0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	
Food Bank/Home-Delivered Meals	\$54,941	2.53%	\$55,155	2.53%	\$55,155	2.50%	
Health Education Risk Reduction	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	
Housing Assistance	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	
Referral for Health Care & support Services(Systemwid	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	
Other Professional Services/Legal Services	\$84,221	3.87%	\$84,550	3.87%	\$85,562	3.87%	
Psychosocial Support Services	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	
Rehabilitation Care	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	
Substance Abuse (Residential)	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	
Translation & Interpretation	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	
Transportation	\$161,242	7.42%	\$161,871	7.42%	\$163,809	7.42%	
Subtotal	\$2,174,255	13.82%	\$2,182,737	13.82%	\$2,208,210	13.79%	
13.82%	\$2,174,255	100.00%	\$2,182,737	100.00%	\$2,208,210	100.00%	
13.02/0	72,174,233	100.0070	<i>\$2,</i> 102,707	100.0070	<i>\$2,200,210</i>		
Difference from CURRENT level funding			\$8,482		\$33,955		
Difference from NEW level funding			\$0,432 \$0		\$25,473		
Difference from NEW lever funding			70		Ų23,175		
	2015 PLWH	PLWH %	2018 Level	2016 PLWH	PLWH %	2019 Level	2019 +1.167%
Philadelphia	19280	71.922%	\$12,573,374	19113	71.445%	\$12,453,330	\$12,598,663
PA	4193	15.641%	\$2,734,448	4289	16.032%	\$2,794,555	\$2,827,168
N1	3334	12.437%	\$2,174,255	3350	12.522%	\$2,182,737	\$2,208,210
EMA	26807	100.000%	\$17,482,076	26752	100.000%	\$17,430,622	\$17,634,042
	and the second second						

Philadelphia EMA FY2019-2020 Allocation Examples

	6/14/2018	8/9/2018	1/30/2019	MAI	MAI	MAI	
	2015 PLWHA%	2016 PLWHA %	proposed		Approved	Draft 1-30-2019	
	EMA	EMA	example for	6/14/2018	8/9/2018		
	FY 2018	FY 2019	1.167%	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2019	
	Level	Level	Increase	Level	Level	-0.241%	
Core Service Categories	Allocations	Allocations		Allocation	Allocation	Allocation	
AIDS Drug Assistance Program (ADAP)	\$0	\$0	\$0	and the second			
Ambulatory Care	\$6,684,543	\$6,514,849	\$6,587,785	\$370,664	\$365,742	\$364,861	
Case Management	\$5,597,828	\$5,455,469	\$5,510,204	\$1,405,615	\$1,386,949	\$1,383,611	
Orug Reimbursement Program	\$497,810	\$480,291	\$486,328				
arly Intervention Services	\$0	\$0	\$0				
lealth Insurance Premium & Cost Sharing Assistance	\$0	\$0	\$0				
dome & Community-based Health Services	\$0	\$0	\$0				
lome Health Care	\$0	\$0	\$0				
Hospice Services	, \$0	\$0	\$0				
Mental Health Therapy/Counseling	\$541,987	\$542,648	\$544,685				
Jutritional Services	\$59,612	\$59,612	\$59,612				
Oral Health Care	\$770,275	\$756,095	\$763,594				
ubstance Abuse Treatment-Outpatient	\$354,603	\$634,657	\$650,964				
79.39%							
upport Service Categories							
are Outreach	\$0	\$0	\$0				
ase Management (non-medical)	\$0	\$0	\$0				
hild Care Services	\$0	\$0	\$0				
ay or Respite Care	\$0	\$0	\$0				
mergency Financial Assistance	\$69,415	\$68,957	\$69,550				
mergency Financial Assistance/AIDS Pharma. Assist.	\$857,688	\$851,561	\$858,979				
mergency Financial Assistance/Housing	\$228,470	\$226,289	\$229,113				
ood Bank/Home-Delivered Meals	\$327,254	\$325,491	\$328,051				
lealth Education Risk Reduction	\$0	\$0	\$0				
lousing Assistance	\$564,808	\$559,672	\$566,322				
eferral for Health Care & support Services(Systemwide)	\$81,039						
Other Professional Services/Legal Services	, ,	\$80,265	\$81,202				
sychosocial Support Services	\$402,394	\$399,848	\$404,341				
ehabilitation Care	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0				
ubstance Abuse (Residential)		\$0	\$0				
ranslation & Interpretation	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0				
ransportation	\$0 \$444,351	\$0 \$474,918	\$0 \$493,311				
ubtotal	\$17,482,077	\$17,430,622		Ć4 77C 270	Å4 7F2 604	44.740.470	
abiotal			\$17,634,040	\$1,776,279	\$1,752,691	\$1,748,472	
16.28%	\$17,482,076	\$17,430,622	\$17,634,042	\$1,776,279	\$1,752,691	\$1,748,472	
Difference from New level funding	-\$1		ć202 420				
<u>Difference from New lever junuing</u>			\$203,420				
Referral for Health Care & support Services	\$512,425	\$538,046	\$544,325				
M Activities (Not to exceed 5% of total grant award)	\$516,669	\$542,502	\$548,833	\$21,624	\$22,705	\$22,650	
,	, ,	70 12,002	-	Ψ 2 ±,024	722,103	722,030	
ystemwide Coordination	¢400 F00	6400 F00	A400.00=				
apacity Building	\$190,598 \$111,384	\$190,598	\$192,822				
apacity Building C Support	\$111,384	\$111,384	\$112,684				
rantee Administration	\$494,154	\$494,154	\$499,921	A	4.4		
dministration (Not to exceed 10% of grant award)	\$1,260,660	\$1,260,660	\$1,275,373	\$174,759	\$197,266	\$196,791	
enimizerative (Mor to exceen 10% of Right amaid)	\$2,056,796	\$2,056,796	\$2,080,800				
ubtotal Systemwide, QM & Administrative	\$3,085,890	\$3,137,343	\$3,173,959	\$196,383	\$219,971	\$219,441	
ervice Allocations	\$17,482,075	\$17,430,622	\$17,634,042	\$1,776,279	\$1,752,691	\$1,748,472	
ward amount (formula & supplemental)	\$20,567,965	\$20,567,965	\$20,808,001	\$1,972,662	\$1,972,662	\$1,967,913	
<u>Difference from New level funding</u>			240,036				
	2015 PLWH	PI WH %	2018 Level	2016 PLW/H	DI WH o/	2010 Lovel	2010 11 1670
niladelphia	2015 PLWH 19280	PLWH % 71.922%	2018 Level \$12,573,374	2016 PLWH	PLWH % 71 445%	2019 Level	2019 +1.1679
hiladelphia A	2015 PLWH 19280 4193	PLWH % 71.922% 15.641 %	2018 Level \$12,573,374 \$2,734,448	2016 PLWH 19113 4289	PLWH % 71.445% 16.032%	2019 Level \$12,453,330 \$2,794,555	2019 +1.1679 \$12,598, \$2,827,

Philadelphia FY2019-2020 Allocation Examples

Philadelphia EMA HIV Integrated Planning Council							
	6/14/2018		8/9/2018		1/30/2019		
	2015 PLWHA %		2016 PLWHA %		proposed		
	71.922%		71.445%		example for		
	Philadelphia		Philadelphia		1.167%		
	FY 2018		FY 2019		Increase		
	Level		Level				
Core Service Categories	Allocations		Allocations				
AIDS Drug Assistance Program (ADAP)	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	
Ambulatory Care	\$4,941,896	39.30%	\$4,767,977	38.29%	\$4,827,895	38.32%	
Case Management	\$4,092,325	32.55%	\$3,948,305	31.70%	\$3,997,922	31.73%	
Drug Reimbursement Program	\$497,810	3.96%	\$480,291	3.86%	\$486,328	3.86%	
Early Intervention Services	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	
Health Insurance Premium & Cost Sharing Assistance	·				•		
0	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	
Home & Community-based Health Services	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	
Home Health Care	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	
Hospice Services	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	
Mental Health Therapy/Counseling	\$324,920	2.58%	\$324,920	2.61%	\$324,920	2.58%	
Nutritional Services	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	
Oral Health Care	\$424,600	3.38%	\$409,657	3.29%	\$414,805.95	3.29%	
Substance Abuse Treatment-Outpatient	\$234,428	1.86%	\$484,428	3.89%	\$484,428	3.85%	
83.64%	7234,420		Ş404,420		Ş404,420	83.63%	
05.04/0		83.64%		83.64%		83.03%	
Care Outreach	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	
Case Management (non-medical)	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	
Child Care Services	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	
Day or Respite Care	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	
Emergency Financial Assistance	\$47,950	0.38%	\$47,492	0.38%	\$48,085	0.38%	
Emergency Financial Assistance/AIDS Pharma. Assist.	\$641,779	5.10%	\$635,652	5.10%	\$643,070	5.10%	
Emergency Financial Assistance/Housing	\$228,470	1.82%	\$226,289	1.82%	\$229,113	1.82%	
Food Bank/Home-Delivered Meals	\$207,075	1.65%	\$205,098	1.65%	\$207,658	1.65%	
Health Education Risk Reduction	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	
Housing Assistance	\$537,904	4.28%	\$532,768	4.28%	\$539,418	4.28%	
Referral for Health Care & support Services(Systemwide)	\$81,039	0.64%	\$80,265	0.64%	\$81,202	0.64%	
Other Professional Services/Legal Services	\$301,108	2.39%	\$298,233	2.39%	\$301,714	2.39%	
Psychosocial Support Services	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	
Rehabilitation Care	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	
Substance Abuse (Residential)	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	
Translation & Interpretation	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	
Transportation	\$12,070	0.10%	\$11,955	0.10%	\$12,104	0.10%	
	\$12,573,374	16.36%	\$12,453,330	16.36%	\$12,598,663	16.37%	
16.36%	\$12,573,374	100.00%	\$12,453,330	100.00%	\$12,598,663	100.00%	
Difference from CURRENT level funding			-\$120,044		\$25,289		
<u>Difference from NEW</u> level funding			\$0		\$145,333		
	2015 PLWH	PLWH %	2018 Level	2016 PLWH	PLWH %	2019 Level	2019 +1.167%
Philadelphia	19280	71.922%	\$12,573,374	19113	71.445%	\$12,453,330	\$12,598,663
PA	4193	15.641%	\$2,734,448	4289	16.032%	\$2,794,555	\$2,827,168
NJ	3334	12.437%	\$2,174,255	3350	12.522%	\$2,182,737	\$2,208,210
EMA	26807	100.000%	\$17,482,076	26752	100.000%	\$17,430,622	\$17,634,042

PA Counties FY2019-2020 Allocation Examples

Philadelphia EMA HIV Integrated Planning Council							
	6/14/2018		8/9/2018		1/30/2019		
	2015 PLWHA % 15.641%		2016 PLWHA % 16.032%		proposed		
	PA Counties		PA Counties		example for 1.167%		
	FY 2018		FY 2019		Increase		
	Level		Level		mereuse		
Core Service Categories	Allocations		Allocations				
AIDS Drug Assistance Program (ADAP)	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	
Ambulatory Care	\$659,540	24.12%	\$659,540	23.60%	\$659,540	23.33%	
Case Management	\$1,079,732	39.49%	\$1,079,732	38.64%	\$1,079,732	38.19%	
Drug Reimbursement Program	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	
Early Intervention Services	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	
Health Insurance Premium & Cost Sharing Assistance							
	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	
Home & Community-based Health Services	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	
Home Health Care	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	
Hospice Services	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	
Mental Health Therapy/Counseling	\$47,607	1.74%	\$47,607	1.70%	\$47,607	1.68%	
Nutritional Services	\$59,612	2.18%	\$59,612	2.13%	\$59,612	2.11%	
Oral Health Care	\$150,162	5.49%	\$150,162	5.37%	\$150,162	5.31%	
Substance Abuse Treatment-Outpatient	\$120,175	4.39%	\$150,229	5.38%	\$166,536	5.89%	
77.41%		77.41%		76.82%		76.51%	
Support Service Categories				7 0.0270		70.5270	
Care Outreach	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	
Case Management (non-medical)	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	
Child Care Services	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	
Day or Respite Care	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	
Emergency Financial Assistance	\$21,465	0.78%	\$21,465	0.77%	\$21,465	0.76%	
Emergency Financial Assistance/AIDS Pharma. Assist.	\$215,909	7.90%	\$215,909	7.73%	\$215,909	7.64%	
Emergency Financial Assistance/Housing		0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	
Food Bank/Home-Delivered Meals	\$65,238	2.39%	\$65,238	2.33%	\$65,238	2.31%	
Health Education Risk Reduction	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	
Housing Assistance	\$26,904	0.98%	\$26,904	0.96%	\$26,904	0.95%	
Referral for Health Care & support Services(Systemwide	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	
Other Professional Services/Legal Services	\$17,065	0.62%	\$17,065	0.61%	\$17,065	0.60%	
Psychosocial Support Services	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	
Rehabilitation Care	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	
Substance Abuse (Residential)	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	
Translation & Interpretation	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	
Transportation	\$271,039	9.91%	\$301,092	10.77%	\$317,398	11.23%	
	\$2,734,448	22.59%	\$2,794,555	23.18%	\$2,827,168	23.49%	
22.59%	\$2,734,448	100.00%	\$2,794,555	100.00%	\$2,827,168	100.00%	
Difference from CURRENT level funding <u>Difference from NEW</u> level funding			\$60,107 \$0		\$92,720 \$32,613		
	2015 PLWH	PLWH %	2018 Level	2016 PLWH	PLWH %	2019 Level	2019 +1.167%
Philadelphia	19280	71.922%	\$12,573,374	19113	71.445%	\$12,453,330	\$12,598,663
PA	4193	15.641%	\$2,734,448	4289	16.032%	\$2,794,555	\$2,827,168
NJ	3334	12.437%	\$2,174,255	3350	12.522%	\$2,182,737	\$2,208,210
EMA	26807	100.000%	\$17,482,076				\$17,634,042